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A BRIEF REVIEW OF CLASSICAL AND MODERN TAFSIR TRENDS AND THE ROLE OF MODERN TAFASIR IN CONTEMPORARY ISLAMIC THOUGHT

Amer Ali*

Abstract: This article briefly reviews traditional and modern tafsir (interpretation) trends and how modern tafasir have shaped contemporary Islamic thought. Classical tafsir trends, tafsir bi al-ma’thur (tradition-based interpretation) and tafsir bi al-ra’y (reason-based interpretation) are well-documented in historical norms of Qur’anic exegesis. However, modernity, with its complex socioeconomic, religious, political and cultural developments, presents unique challenges to muffassirun (authors of Qur’anic interpretations) to contextualise the Qur’anic message and provide guidance to modern-day Muslims and their worldview. Complex modern Islamic thought is a selection of ideologies and philosophies that resulted from the prevailing diverse geopolitical, sociocultural and economic environment. These dynamic elements of modernity have conceptualised tafsir trends into the textualist, contextualist, modernist, socio-political, scientific, thematic and feminist approaches. These trends have not only transformed contemporary Islamic thought, and vice versa, but also continue to collectively evolve to meet the challenges of modernity.
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The Qur’ân, the literal word of Allah (swt), presents a timeless spiritual inspiration to Muslim scholarship to educe and contextualise its message for every Muslim, if not every human, throughout Islamic history. Not long after the death of the Prophet (pbuh), Islamic scholarship focused on the preservation of Qur’anic spiritual teachings, its wisdom and its corpus juris. A particular focus was to understand and contextualise the teachings of the Qur’ân to draw wisdom in resolving various daily challenges of the time. As the Muslim empire reached a monumental geographical and social scale, it presented its own social and cultural challenges and linguistic diversities. The Arabic language was not the only widely spoken language of the time. These distinctive civilities pursued a circumstantial understanding of the Qur’anic message. Furthermore, the expansion of Muslim lands and various governance arrangements with non-Muslim territories encouraged opportunities for Muslims to travel and trade, resulting in the resettlement of many Muslims to foreign lands and cultures. A dire need
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for meticulous understanding of the Qurʾān surfaced for guiding the lives of these Muslims living in non-Muslim territories. *Mufassirun* (authors of the Qurʾānic interpretations) diligently engaged themselves to effectively present the Qurʾānic narrative through prophetic and traditional narrations to these dynamic societal norms. That resulted in *tafasir bi al-maʿthur* (tradition-based interpretations). Moreover, scientific, technological, industrial and socio-cultural advancements opened new doors to *tafsir* of the Qurʾān. Reasoning played a central role in interpreting certain *tafsir* (plural of *tafsir*) to respond to these astute civilisations. Consequently, reason-based *tafsir* (*tafasir bi al-raʿy*) became populous. However, in the present time, all these factors have merged to produce a complex sociocultural framework.

Information technology, scientific advancements, specialised fields of knowledge and aviation developments have turned the world into a globalised village. This intricate environment has reshaped the methodology of human understanding and Islamic thought. Century old traditions and rationality alone may not be sufficient to respond to the challenges encountered by modernity. A meaningful Muslim life, once again, requires contextualised understanding of the Qurʾānic message to approach the challenges of this dynamically evolving epoch. On one hand, modern Islamic thought is influencing the manner in which Qurʾānic interpretations are evolving; simultaneously, various modernistic reason and tradition-based Qurʾānic *tafasir* are profoundly transforming the views and philosophies of present-day Muslims. This article, after discussing early *tafasir* norms, will provide historical background and an understanding of *tafsir* and its impact, followed by modern trends in *tafsir*. The discussion will continue through exploring the concept of Islamic thought and observations will be made regarding the impact of modern *tafsir* on contemporary Islamic thought.

**EARLY TAFASIR AND THEIR HISTORICAL ELEMENTS**

Though the Qurʾān was revealed in the native language of an Arab audience, its remedial challenge to atrocious social norms forced its primary audience to seek an exegetic comprehension of its directives. Unfortunately, soon after the death of the Prophet (pbuh) and expansion of the Muslim empire, a diverse religious, theological and political ambience evolved. Further, the continued development of the Arabic language compelled scholarship, Muslim or otherwise, to contextualise the words and expressions of the Qurʾān through every era of Muslim history. To contextualise the Qurʾān, an understanding of its structural elements is essential. Notably, the Qurʾān primarily contains two types of *ayat* (verses): *muhkamat* and *mutashabihat*.2

*Muhkam* (singular form of *muhkamat*) *ayat* are clear in meaning and require no interpretation or further explanation. *Mutashabihat*, on the other hand, require interpretation as they carry two meanings: resembling (referring to similarity to objects, events or phenomenon

---

that were experienced at the time of the verse’s revelation) and ambiguous (which means these ayat may not clearly be related to an object, event or phenomenon or contain metaphor).3 Furthermore, Qadhi discusses these various groups of the Qur’ānic ayat to expound the linguistic complexities of the Qur’ān that mufassirun had to confront and consequentially led to the various genres of tafsir.4 For example, the Qur’ānic words/expressions/ayah can be haqeeqee (used in their literal and intended sense) or majaazee (used in metaphorical sense), aam (general in application) or khaas (used in specific context), mutlaq (lit. unconditional) or muqayyad (lit. qualified), mantooq (apparent meaning is obvious) or ma fhoom (cannot be understood explicitly), and nasikh (contains abrogating rulings) or manssokh (carries rules that are abrogated).

In addition to linguistic nuances, knowledge of various Qur’ānic sciences is necessary for scrupulous tafsir work. Demonstratively, knowledge of the Makkah and Madinan phases of the Prophetic (pbuh) life and revelation of surahs (chapters) in each phase, termed Makkah and Madinan surahs,5 is fundamental to any tafsir work. Though some Qur’ānic revelation, in Makkah or Madina, is not related to any particular event or reason, knowledge of the context, called asbab ul-nuzul (reasons for revelation),6 is indispensable for those ayat that are. Furthermore, the influence of foreign elements (isra’iliyyat) in explaining stories of previous prophets is an important consideration for many Qur’ānic exegetes.7 These are only a few examples of the Qur’ānic sciences that are required for any authentic exegesis of the Qur’ān.

Classically, Qur’ānic exegesis is divided into two major trends, as mentioned earlier, tafsir bi al-math’ur and tafsir bi al-ra’y.8 One of the Prophetic missions was to explain the Qur’ānic message9 and he did so through his words and practice.10 After the Prophet (pbuh), the first four Rashidun caliphs (632–660 CE) (Abu Bakr, Umar, Uthman and Ali) and a number of Companions (such as Abd Allah b. Mas’ud, Ubay b. Ka’b, Abd Allah b. Abbas and Zayd b. Thabit) contributed significantly in explaining and interpreting the Qur’ān.11 Within the first 150 years of the Prophet’s (pbuh) death, especially towards the era marking the end of the Umayyad dynasty, scholars started to compile complete tafsirs based on prophetic narrations and traditions. Not long after, by the eleventh and twelfth centuries, a number of scholars also developed tafsir entrenched in their personal opinion and rationale reflecting various trends
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9 Qur’ān 16:14.
in Muslim thought. These emerging Islamic thoughts, stemming from multiple orientations, led to sectarian, theological, legal, mystical and philosophical *tafsir* of the Qur’ān. This produced works such as *Jamiʿ al-bayan ‘an ta’wil ay alQur‘ān* (The Commentary on the Qur’ān) by al-Tabari (d. 923 CE) a tradition-based *tafsir*; *Al-kashshaf ‘an haqqa’iq ghawamid al-tanzil* (The Unveiler of the Realities of the Sciences of the Revelation) by the Mu’tazilite grammarian and philologist al-Zamakhshari (d. 1144 CE); *Mafatih al-ghayb* (The Keys to Unseen) by the Ash’arite theologian al-Razi (d. 1209 CE), a voluminous and famous reason-based *tafsir* work; *Al-jamiʿ li-ahkam al-Qurʿān* (The Collection of Qur’anic Injunctions) by al-Qurtubi (d. 1272 CE); *Tafsir al-Jalalayn* (The Commentary of the Two Jalāls) by al-Mahalli (d. 1459 CE) and his student al-Suyuti (d. 1505 CE); and *Tafsir al-Qurʿān al-ʿazim* (The Ibn Kathir Interpretation) by ibn Kathir (d. 1373 CE). These acclaimed *tafasir*, along with development in Qur’ānic sciences (ulum al-Qurʿān), became treasured and foundational sources for modern *tafsir* work.

MODERN TRENDS IN TAFSIR

Since the revelation of the Qur’ān 1,400 years ago, the intricate sociocultural and religious environment of modernity once again demands contextualised understanding of the Qur’ānic message. A modern *tafsir* only becomes modern when its explanation (*tawil*) addresses the social, cultural and political realities of the current era. A number of trends exist in modern scholarship for contextualising the Qur’ānic message. The more prominent modernistic methodologies of Qur’ānic exegesis comprise textualist, contextualist, modernist, sociopolitical, scientific, thematic and feminist approaches. To comprehend how these philosophies are reshaping modern Islamic thought and vice versa, a comprehensive understanding of these trends is desirable, but is beyond the scope of this article. However, these exegetical trends are briefly examined here.

**Textualist Exegesis**

Exegetical work that is primarily based on evaluating and interpreting Qur’ānic text is referred to as textualist exegesis. Saeed defines the term textualist exegesis as Qur’ānic *tafsir* work relying on text and tradition, which approaches interpretation of the Qur’ān strictly from a linguistic perspective. Most modern *tafasir*, as expressed by Saeed, are written with a
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view that interpretation of a Qur’ānic verse, word or expression conveys its original meaning to scholars who adhere to the conventions governing a textualist approach. Development of the linguistic sciences of *nawḥ* (grammar) and *balagha* (rhetoric) profoundly refined this *tafsir* trend from a lexical explanation alone to a literary stylistic of comprehension and clarification. A few textualist works, such as those by al-Zamakhshārī (d. 1144 CE) and more modern scholar Amin al-Khuli (d. 1967), adopt a linguistic perspective as the foundation for their *tafsir* rather than contemporary context, which demands Muslims to adopt historic sociocultural connotations.

**Contextualist Exegesis**

Another modern trend in *tafsir* is to let the Qur’ān speak for itself. It is argued by some that the Qur’ān should be understood in a similar manner as the Arabs of Hijaz did at the time of its revelation. To clarify such philosophy, it is further argued that traditional reports on the occasions of revelation are not unanimously agreed upon and should only be considered when contextual circumstances are favourable. Furthermore, contextualists, such as Algerian scholar Muhammad Arkoun (d. 2010), Egyptian Nasr Hamid Abu Zayd (d. 2010) and Kuwaiti born scholar Khaled Abou El Fadl (b. 1963), reject the imitation of earlier modes of thinking in Qur’ānic exegesis, like their modernist counterparts. These notions have led to contemporary philosophies in interpretation and contextualising the Qur’ānic message.

**Modernist Exegesis**

Qur’ānic *tafsir* exclusively based on and addressing challenges presented by modernity, and more specifically by the Western worldview, is said to reflect modernist or reformist exegetical work. A number of Muslim scholars with this mindset, such as Shah Waliullah (d. 1762) quoted by Saeed, refute *taqlid* (blind imitation of early scholars) and favour *ijtihad* (independent judgement) to apply contemporary thought in interpreting the Qur’ān. Commenting on the philosophy of one modern scholar, Sir Syed Ahmad Khan (d. 1898), Saeed writes:

> In his view, the gulf between Western and Islamic modes of thought was vast, and Muslims who had been educated in the West or influenced by Western education were no longer able
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to comprehend the religious discourse of the ulama of the time. This widening gap threatened the very relevance of Islam as a religion for many Muslims.26

Furthermore, issues such as gender inequality, attitudes towards non-Muslims, philosophy, science27 and notions of the relevance of Islam in modern times forced modernists to traverse beyond the grammatical and rhetorical usage, theological controversies and jurisprudential approaches in the *tafsir* tradition.28 This has resulted in a *tafsir* dimension that resonates more with Muslims of the twenty-first century. Muhammad Abduh’s (d. 1905) work, which was later published by his student Muhammad Rashid Rida (d. 1935) as *Tafsir al-Manar* (Interpretation of Beacon), is one example of such a trend.29

**Socio-Political Exegesis**

*Tafsir* that relate Qur’ānic interpretation particularly to social, economic and political aspects of modernity can be categorised as socio-political exegesis. One such acclaimed work is by well-known Egyptian scholar Sayyid Qutb (d. 1966). His life’s mission of advocating social, political and religious reforms can be clearly seen in his *tafsir* work.30 *Fi zilal al- Qur’ān* (In the Shade of the Qur’ān). Though Qutb’s approach to *tafsir* of the Qur’ān was highly political,31 it contributed to a new genre of socio-political interpretation and understanding of the Qur’ānic message. Similar views were expressed by Fazlur Rahman (d. 1988),32 reiterating how understanding the social and political environment plays a foundational role in understanding the Qur’ānic message.

**Scientific Exegesis**

In modern Western societies, scientific education and development overwhelmingly hold a central role.33 Hence, scientific exegesis of the Qur’ān, expounding on verses dealing with scientific facts, is a re-emerging phenomenon. Though scientific Qur’ānic exegesis has a long history, originating from within the writings of al-Ghazali (d. 1111), its popularity in present time has reached unprecedented levels.34 The most prominent work in this field is by Egyptian scholar Shaykh Ṭanṭāwī Jawhari (d. 1940), author of *al-Jawāhir fi tafsīr al-Qur’ān al-karīm*.35
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Though other comparable work in scientific exegesis by French surgeon Maurice Bucaille has raised much debate regarding the scientific inimitability of the Qur’ān, this revived modern trend in Qur’ānic tafsir will most likely further expand as the Western influence on Muslim societies continues.

**Thematic Exegesis**

This modern genre of tafsir advocates Qur’ānic exegesis in the forms of various themes and topics that are central to the Qur’ān. Egyptian scholar Amin al-Khuli (d. 1967), well-known for his tajdid (renaissance) methodology in Qur’ānic interpretation, though he did not write tafsir, is a strong proponent of exegetic work based on thematic interpretation (tafsir mawdu’i) of the Qur’ānic message. Iranian Ayatullah Murtaza Mutahhari (d. 1979), Egyptian writer Abbas Mahmud al-Aqqad (d. 1964) and Pakistani scholar Fazlur Rahman have written extensively on the various themes in the Qur’ān, such as society, history, women’s rights and fundamental liberties. Though this trend in explaining the Qur’ān can be traced back to the Companions, its application in modern tafsir work is unrivalled.

**Feminist Exegesis**

Since the second half of the last century, feminist exegetes have emerged to challenge patriarchal interpretations of the Qur’ān. Female scholars, such as Moroccan writer and sociologist Fatima Mernissi (d. 2015), American Muslim scholar Amina Wadud (b. 1952) and Pakistani-American writer and academic Asma Barlas (b. 1950), have produced major works in feminist exegesis using the conventional principles of tafsir, such as tafsir of the Qur’ānic verses in light of other Qur’ānic verses and the sunna of the Prophet (pbuh). One of the earliest and perhaps most challenging works, arguing against segregation of the sexes and notions of women’s inferiority to men, is by Lebanese scholar Nazira Zain al-Din (d. 1976), who published Al-sufur wa-l-hijab (Unveiling and Veiling) in Beirut in 1928. These modern female scholars have not only pointed out the patriarchal nature of traditional exegetic work, but have contributed feminine perspectives to tafsir tradition.

When examined superficially, these modern tafsir trends appear to be diverse and, at times, opposing in nature. However, on analysis, a significant synergy of ideologies and philosophies is strongly palpable. From textualism to contextualism, modernism to feminism, scientism to socio-political and thematic interpretations, the underpinning of these modern tafsir trends is
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how to appropriately respond to prevailing diverse geopolitical, sociocultural and technological issues in this advancing world. It is the result of such contributions to modern thought by contemporary scholarship in these various tafsir genres that Muslims are able to navigate their responsibilities under the luminous guidance of the Qurʾān.

CONTEMPORARY ISLAMIC THOUGHT

Contemporary Islamic thought is a complex assortment of ideologies and philosophies. It is a result of a diverse regional, social, cultural and political ambience facing modernity.44 Traditionally, Islamic philosophies can be divided into three broad categories according to Muslims’ responses to these challenges.45 According to Saeed,46 these categories are:

1. Muslims who see no real need to change 1,400 years of Islamic tradition.
2. Muslims who view any resistance to modernisation as unwise and counterproductive.
3. Muslims who question key aspects of tradition by ignoring what is irrelevant to modern times but emphasising what is relevant, in order to remain loyal to the Qurʾānic ethos and values.

Regardless of one’s view, the challenges of modernity demand revitalised thinking of traditional Islamic worldview,47 accepted traditionally or not, for resolving issues such as gender inequality, sexual orientation, environmental degradation, social and political organisation, secularism and the rise of atheism.48 Therefore, any reformation of Islamic thought has to be multifaceted due to the evolving intricate modern realities surrounding the issues of democracy, human rights, religious freedom, secular states and individualism. These challenges of modernity demand more than just translating Qurʾānic verses or traditions.49

Ibrahim50 elaborates five strands of Islamic thought in light of these modern challenges:

1. Neo-modernist reformism – a way of critically challenging the idealistic societal development based on the Western model.
2. Neo-traditionalism and scripturalism – a tendency to hold on to the old ways of life and fear innovation.
3. Socio-political and cultural Islamism – a need by Muslims to embrace the ‘authenticity’ of the Prophetic time.
4. Liberal humanism that enjoins rationalism, cosmopolitanism and pluralism – advocates humanistic appreciation rather than traditional dogmatism.

45 Saeed, Interpreting the Quran, 8.
46 Ibid.
50 Ibrahim, “Contemporary Islamic Thought,” 279.
5. Spirituality of a Sufi type – representing a benign and impartial version of Islam.

Though this classification of Islamic thought provides insight into modern Islamic worldview, the dominance of traditionalism in the face of materialism and positivism, globalisation and scientific developments is still a reality. Such a reductionist dogmatic orthodoxy to contemporary realities is not only harmful, but is toxic to the cause of contemporary Islam and Muslim societies. Therefore, revitalised modernistic Qur’anic guidance founded in spiritualism, religious tolerance, pluralism and liberal humanism is required from modern muffassirun.

ROLE OF MODERN TAFASIR IN CONTEMPORARY ISLAMIC THOUGHT

Since the advent of Islam, Muslims have conformed their lives to the commands of Allah (swt), primarily through understanding the message of the Qur’ān and traditions of the Prophet (pbuh), and the present time is no exception. However, modern interpretations of the Qur’ān, keeping tafsir tradition and historical context in view, must address the needs of the contemporary Muslims. The Qur’ān’s focus is to create a viable society that is dynamic and able to adapt itself to the requirements of the time.

Modern Qur’ān scholarship needs to rise above traditional orthodoxy, surpassing essential textualistic interpretations of Qur’ān, to meet the challenges of modernity head on. Recognisably, many efforts have been made to reshape Islamic thought through contemporary Qur’ānic interpretive and exegetic works. One such example is Said Nursi (d. 1960), a Muslim thinker and Turkish scholar, whose acclaimed work in contemporary Islamic thought and spirituality is published in the form of Risale-i Nur. Though Nursi emphasises Qur’ānic interpretation by the Qur’ān (contextualism), the Prophet (pbuh) and Companions, he was not a proponent of reading straight from the text and was against narrow literalism.

Though the socio-political and feminist Qur’ānic tafsir genre is a relatively a new phenomenon, at times traversing the normative interpretive Qur’ānic boundaries, these tafsir trends have significantly contributed to reshaping Muslim worldview. Traditional and cardinally patriarch social, political and religious values require modernistic transformational reforms. The works of Sayyid Qutb, Fatima Mernissi, Amina Wadud and Asma Barlas have arguably provided this much needed socio-political restructuring of contemporary Islamic thought.

By contrast, scientific exegesis already carries a profound societal impact on modern Islamic thought. Examples of this effect are television programs, such as the satellite television channel
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Iqra that deliberates on scientific tafsir of Qur’ānic passages to provide to the needs of its audience.\textsuperscript{57} Thematic Qur’ānic work by Fazlur Rahman, another well-known scholar, has similarly played a significant role in reshaping Islamic thought through contributions in Qur’ānic contextualisation. In addition, his work in hādith criticism, Islamic intellectual traditions and reformation in the fields of Islamic law and ethics is well-known to academic circles.\textsuperscript{58} Other works, such as the Al-Manar journal, influenced by Muhammad Abduh’s ideas, play a pivotal role in the Muslim world through propagating other reformist views of Al-Imam and al-Munir in Indonesia, advocating a need for reinterpretation of the Qur’ān and sunna in favour of abandoning taqlid and purifying Islam.\textsuperscript{59}

Nevertheless, many modern tafsir scholars, such as Sir Syed Ahmad Khan, Abdul-Kalam Azad (d. 1958), Syed Qutb, Muhammad Abduh and Muhammad Rashid Rida, and their modern tafsir works have their firm critics.\textsuperscript{60} However, regardless of one’s ideological inclinations, the scholastic exegetical work of these modern Muslim scholars must be appreciated as they have certainly left an indelible mark on the reformation and development of contemporary Islamic thought.

CONCLUSION

In essence, Qur’ānic teachings and wisdom have guided Muslim lives over 1,400 years. Muslim scholars throughout history have contextualised the Qur’ānic message to assist Muslims face the challenges of their times. Since modernity has nurtured a unique cultural, political, religious, scientific and technologically advanced social construct, the need for Qur’ānic guidance is now more pressing than ever. The exegetic work from the past based on tradition and reason has a significant role in the formulation of modern trends in tafsir; however, the rapid evolution in complex modern Islamic thought requires intricate yet fast-paced Qur’ānic scholarship. Though the Qur’ān has complex Arabic vocabulary and structures, and ayat that are both clear and ambiguous, this is only befitting of a Divine revelation that is everlasting. It is fundamental to comprehension of the Qur’ān that one clearly understands the historical background of its revelation.

Modern trends in tafsir, from textualist to contextualist, modernist to scientific, socio-political to feminist or simply expounding themes of Qur’ān, address the societal evolution, cultural development and political realities of this modern era, which are based on various categories of Islamic worldview. Despite embracing the traditionalism and scripturalism of last 14 centuries, the tafsir work of modern muffassirun will have to adapt to the rapidly changing values of socio-religious tolerance, scientific and technological advancements, and rationalistic

\textsuperscript{58} Saeed, \textit{The Qur’ān}, 222.
\textsuperscript{59} Campanini, “Qur’ānic Hermeneutics and Political Hegemony,” 125.
pluralism. Since contemporary Islamic thought is constantly evolving with these modern worldviews, it is essential for modern Muslim scholarship to continue to contextualise the message of the Qurʾān based on centuries old classical scholarship of Qurʾānic exegetic work. Regardless of strong opposition to its philosophies, it is this intellectual yet modern Islamic discourse that will equip modern Muslims with the necessary tools to embrace and propagate contemporary Islam.
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